IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.R.UDAYABHANU

THURSDAY, THE 18TH OCTOBER 2007 / 26TH ASWINA 1929

RPFC.No. 82 of 2003()

MC.310/2002 of FAMILY COURT, MANJERI.

.....

REVISION PETITIONER/RESPONDENT:

MUHAMMED, S/O. AMBALAPURAVAN ALAVI,
PALLIYALIL HOUSE, PARATHAKKAD,
MUTHUVALLUR, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.BABU S. NAIR

RESPONDENTS: STATE & PETITIONER:

---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM, KOCHI-31.

2. MUSAINA NASRIN - MINOR,
REPRESENTED BY HER MOTHER - KADEEJA,
D/O. VETTUPARAMALAYIL KAKKOTTIL ALI,
CHEEKKODE, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.M.SATHYANATHA MENON

THIS REV.PETITION(FAMILY COURT) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 18/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.R.UDAYABHANU, J.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

R.P.(F.C).No.82 of 2003-A

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Dated this the 18th day of October, 2007

O R D E R

The revision petitioner is the respondent husband in M.C.No.310/2002 in the file of the Family Court, Manjeri, and is under orders to pay maintenance at the rate of Rs.500/- to the minor child aged 1 year and 7 months. The revision petitioner has sought for setting aside the order of the court below. Marriage and divorce are admitted. The only evidence adduced is the oral testimony of both sides. According to her the respondent is a vehicle broker and is also having landed properties. and he is having an income of Rs.30,000/- per month; but according to RW.1/respondent he is only a manual labourer and gets a meagre income, and that he has to look after the aged parents and spouse whom he has married after divorcing the petitioner/wife. I find that the amount of maintenance, Rs.500/- ordered to be paid is quite reasonable. In the circumstances I find no reason to interfere with the order of the court below.

The revision petition is dismissed.

K.R.UDAYABHANU,
Judge

ms